	Tennessee						
CONTEST CRITERIA 0-60 61-80 81-90 91-100 A FINAL WEBSITE X X I Was the site submitted by the deadline? X X Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and X X Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and well X X Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well X X G Is the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X X G Does the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X X Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to an online visitors? X X B Does the team employ original and creative methods to cature user' interests and engage online visitors? X X B Dublic CHHIBIT MATERIALS X X X I Do the on-site communications materials (signage and hadout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-37 X X J Do the on-site communicated appropriately? X X X Are messages communicated appropriately? X X X Do the on-site communicated appropriately? X X X Do the on-site communicated appropriate originality? X			TEAM		SCORE		POINTS
A FINAL WEBSITE X 1 Was the site submitted by the deadline? X 2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typographv)? X 2 Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 3 comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X X 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well are used with content and design? X 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X X 6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to and users' interests and engage online visitors? X X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X X X 9 Dote the on-site communicate appropriately? X X X 1 bo the on-site communicate appropriately? X X X 2 Dot the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X X 4 Do materials buse ducate and engage audiences? X X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>/100</th>			-				/100
1 Was the site submitted by the deadline? X 2 Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography)? X Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? X 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to another team comploy original and creative methods to achure users' interests and engage online visitors? X 9 Does the team employ original and creative methods to achure users' interests and engage online. Visitors? X 9 Does the site compulve thrules 10-2 and 10-3? X 8 PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS X 9 Does the subic during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 6 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 6 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 6 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>0-60</th><th>61-80</th><th>81-90</th><th>91-100</th><th></th></td<>			0-60	61-80	81-90	91-100	
Is the design appealing (graphics, photos, colors, and typography)? X Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? X 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X 6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? X 9 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Does the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Do the no-site communication smaterials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Do the nor-site communication smaterials (signage and handout) comply with rules all on-3? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>							
2 typography) X 1 is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 3 comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well interarted with content and desian? X 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? X X 9 Does the team employ original and creative methods to cature users' interests and engace online visitors? X X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X X X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X X X <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Х</th> <th></th>						Х	
Is the information? X 3 comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information? X 4 Are graphical elements easy to use, consistent, and well integrated with content and design? X 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X 6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? X 8 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to cature users' interests and encage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Does the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Does the size correct spelling and grammar? X X 4 Are messages communicate appropriately? X X 9 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X 9 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a comprehe	2				Х		
Integrated with content and design? ^ 5 Does the Web site meet minimum coding requirements? X 6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to calure users' interests and engage online audiences? X 8 Does the team employ original and creative methods to cature users' interests and engage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Does the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Dote on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Dote on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 9 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 1 bors the team adequately offer two presentations for t		Is the information architecture easy to use, consistent, and comprehensible? Does it present a logical hierarchy of information?				х	
6 Is the Web site usable by people of all abilities? X 7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? X 9 Does the team employ original and creative methods to cature users' interests and enaage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X X 9 Does the site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X X 1 Do the on-site communicated appropriately? X X X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X X 9 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized 'tour' appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and lona lines? X <th>4</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Х</th> <th></th> <th></th>	4				Х		
7 Does the team communicate its messages appropriately to online audiences? X 9 Does the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and engage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X 7 Presonalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 4 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? X X 1 Personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines?							
Implicit and increasion A 8 Does the team employ original and creative methods to cature users' interests and engage online visitors? 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 8 PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 5 Do the handout and signage demostrate originality? X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X 7 K C PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION X X Do bes the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 1 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? X X 1 Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities	6				X		
Boes the team employ original and creative methods to capture users' interests and enaage online visitors? X 9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 8. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION X X Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 1 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public? X X 4 bo mostift public hours? Are these methods to 1 control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? <th>7</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Х</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	7			Х			
9 Does the site comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 8. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS X 1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X 7 C PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION X X 1 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and lona lines? X X 1 Pare both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X X 1 Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for times thinteresting and informative video of the team's house? </th <th>8</th> <td>Does the team employ original and creative methods to</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	8	Does the team employ original and creative methods to		Х			
B. PUBLIC EXHIBIT MATERIALS X 1 handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X X 7 PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION X X 0 Dost the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 1 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X 1 control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods to 1 control lines and wait times and to engage? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X X 1 Doos the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X X 2 Are the	9					Х	
1 Do the on-site communications materials (signage and handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? X 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? X 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X 7 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 1 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X 1 Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X X 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X X <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>							
handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3? x 2 Did the house pass all on-site inspections in time to be opened to the public during required public hours? x 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X x 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X x 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X x 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X x 7 Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? x x 1 heresting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X x x 4 has the team planned original and creative methods to 1 control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X x 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X x x 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X x 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X x x 2 Are the team messages appropriate for						V	
a popend to the public during required public hours? A 3 Are messages communicated appropriately? X 4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Image: Constraint of the presentations for the purpose of the team adequately offer two presentations for the purpose audiences? X 1 personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X Image: Control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X Image: Control lines walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? <t< th=""><th>1</th><th>handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3?</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>X</th><th></th></t<>	1	handout) comply with rules 10-2 and 10-3?				X	
4 Do materials use correct spelling and grammar? X 5 Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X 6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X 7 Obertice CHIBBIT PRESENTATION Image: Control of the presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, a personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X Has the team planned original and creative methods to 1 control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X Image: Control bines and wait times and up on arrative that a pose the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X Image: Control bines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting and informative video of the team's house? X Image: Control bines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting and informative video of the team's house? X Ima	2					Х	
S Do the handout and signage demonstrate originality? X G Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X G Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Image: Comparison on that represents a comprehensive, a personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? X Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site? X 4 Has the team followed formatting requirements? X							
6 Do materials both educate and engage audiences? X C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Image: Constraint of the public informative, and another that represents a comprehensive, and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH Image: constraint of the team's house? 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? 2 Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the desion? 3 Does the video of formatting requirements? X 4 Has the team followed formatting requirements? X				Х			
C. PUBLIC EXHIBIT PRESENTATION Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines? X 2 Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities? X Has the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective? X 2 Are the team messages appropriate for the public? X 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? X 2 Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? X 3 Does the video of distrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site? X 4 Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet X							
Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and long lines?X2Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities?XHas the team planned original and creative methods to control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective?X2Are the team messages appropriate for the public?X1Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house?X2Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design?X3Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?X4Has the team followed formatting requirements? Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meetX				Х			
2Are both on-site presentations for the public informative? Interesting? Accessible by people of all abilities?XImage: Constraint of the public informative in the public informative in the team messages appropriate for the public?XImage: Constraint of the public?XImage: Constraint of the team informative informati inf		Does the team adequately offer two presentations for the jurors' evaluation: one that represents a comprehensive, personalized "tour" appropriate for times when visitors are few and another that represents a fast, yet informative, self-guided exhibit that accommodates large crowds and		x			
1control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting in line during public hours? Are these methods effective?X2Are the team messages appropriate for the public?XC.VIDEO WALKTHROUGHImage: Content of the team's house?1Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house?XDoes the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design?X3Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?X4Has the team followed formatting requirements?X	2	Are both on-site presentations for the public informative?		х			
C. VIDEO WALKTHROUGH 1 Does the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house? Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? 3 Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site? 4 Has the team followed formatting requirements? K X	1	control lines and wait times and to engage visitors waiting		х			
IDoes the walkthrough provide viewers with interesting and informative video of the team's house?XIDoes the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design?XIDoes the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?XIHas the team followed formatting requirements?X	2	Are the team messages appropriate for the public?		Х			
Informative video of the team's house? X Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that X explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design? X Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site? X 4 Has the team followed formatting requirements? X F Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet X							
2Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the philosophy behind the design?XX3Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?XX4Has the team followed formatting requirements?XXFHas the team provided a verbatim transcript to meetXX	1				Х		
3Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built house on the competition site?X4Has the team followed formatting requirements?XFHas the team provided a verbatim transcript to meetY	2	Does the walkthrough include an audio narrative that explains to viewers what they're seeing and describes the			x		
4Has the team followed formatting requirements?XHas the team provided a verbatim transcript to meetX	3	Does the video walkthrough closely represent the as-built				х	
Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet	4					Х	
	F	Has the team provided a verbatim transcript to meet				Х	
Total 78							78